

Comment Set D.51: Jeff Wright



CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Public Meeting Comments

Proposed Antelope-Pardee 500-kV Transmission Project

Date: 9/10/06

Name*: JEFF WRIGHT


Affiliation (if any)*: _____

Address*: 39662 86th W LI

City, State, Zip Code*: LEONA VALLEY, CA 93551

Telephone Number*: 818-519-9350

Email*: CRESTA5@ADELPHIA.NET



SEGMENT 1, ALT 5 IS AN EXTREMELY ILLOGICAL OPTION TO THE ORIGINAL PROPOSAL AND OTHER OPTIONS, IT WILL CAUSE THE ABUSIVE AND UNNECESSARY USE OF EMINENT DOMAIN TO DISPLACE LONG-STANDING HOME AND PROPERTY OWNERS, PROPERTIES AFFECTED ARE NOT LOW-VALUE, COOKIE-CUTTER HOMES/PROPERTIES, BUT RATHER EXPENSIVE, UNIQUE PARCELS OF HORSE-PROPERTY LAND THAT ARE UNLIKE MOST OTHERS IN THE ANTELOPE VALLEY. KEEPING WITH THE ORIGINAL PROPOSAL, WHICH USES AN EXISTING EASEMENT THROUGH NATIONAL FOREST LAND, WILL NOT IMPACT THE LIVES AND HOMES OF EXISTING HOMEOWNERS, ALTERNATIVE 5 WILL COST DISPLACED HOME AND PROPERTY OWNERS UPWARDS OF 100 MILLION DOLLARS USE LOGIC INSTEAD OF A FEDERALLY MANDATED REQUIREMENT TO RULE YOUR DECISION. GO WITH THE ORIGINAL PROPOSAL AND/OR ANY ALTERNATIVE BUT # 5.

D.51-1

*Please print. Your name, address, and comments become public information and may be released to interested parties if requested.

Please either deposit this sheet at the sign-in table before you leave today, or fold, stamp, and mail. Insert additional sheets if needed. Comments must be postmarked by September 18, 2006. Comments may also be faxed to the project hotline at (661) 215-5152 or emailed to antelope-pardee@aspenerg.com.

Response to Comment Set D.51: Jeff Wright

D.51-1 As discussed in Section C.9.10.2, the alternative alignment would be constructed across approximately 103 privately owned parcels. The majority of land uses that would be restricted as a result of Alternative 5 would be the erection of new structures within the alternative ROW. However, given that SCE has not conducted any engineering design or routing studies for Alternative 5, the EIR/EIS has assumed that the removal of one or more homes could occur. As such, Section C.9.10.2 (Impact L-3) concluded that potential impacts to residential land uses as a result of Alternative 5 would be significant.

Please see General Response GR-1 regarding potential effects on property values, and General Response GR-2 regarding property acquisition.